	Case 1:25-cv-00368-JLT-BAM Docume	nt 16 Filed 10/23/25 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CORNELL E. DAVIS,	1:25-cv-00368-JLT-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND
13	V.	ORDER DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT LODGED ON OCTOBER 20, 2025 AS SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT
14	RODRIGUEZ, et al.,	
15 16	Defendants.	AMENDED COMPLAINT
17	Plaintiff Cornell E. Davis ("Plaintiff")) is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in forma</i>
18	pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
19	On September 26, 2025, the Court screened Plaintiff's complaint and granted him leave to	
20	file a first amended complaint within thirty days after service. (ECF No. 12.) The screening	
21	order was served on Plaintiff by mail on the same date. (See docket.)	
22	On October 6, 2025, Plaintiff filed a notice of his updated address. (ECF No. 13.) On the	
23	following day, October 7, 2025, the Court's screening order was re-served on Plaintiff at his	
24	updated address. (See docket.)	
25	On October 9, 2025, Plaintiff filed his first amended complaint, which was dated October	
26	4, 2025. (ECF No. 14.) On October 20, 2025, Plaintiff lodged an amended complaint dated	
27	October 12, 2025. (ECF No. 15.) Although not entirely clear, Plaintiff may have lodged his	
28	amended complaint in response to the Court's re-service of its screening order. Regardless, the	
	1	

Case 1:25-cv-00368-JLT-BAM Document 16 Filed 10/23/25 Page 2 of 2

Court will construe Plaintiff's lodged amended complaint as a motion for leave to amend.

Under Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a party may amend the party's pleading once as a matter of course at any time before a responsive pleading is served.

Otherwise, a party may amend only by leave of the court or by written consent of the adverse party, and leave shall be freely given when justice so requires. Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a). "Rule 15(a) is very liberal and leave to amend shall be freely given when justice so requires."

AmerisourceBergen Corp. v. Dialysist West, Inc., 465 F.3d 946, 951 (9th Cir. 2006) (citation and quotation omitted). However, courts "need not grant leave to amend where the amendment: (1) prejudices the opposing party; (2) is sought in bad faith; (3) produces an undue delay in litigation; or (4) is futile." Id.

In considering the relevant factors, the Court finds no evidence of prejudice, bad faith, undue delay in litigation, or futility. To the extent Plaintiff's lodged amended complaint was filed in response to the Court's re-service of its screening order, it is timely. Plaintiff's first amended complaint has not yet been screened, and no defendants have been served or appeared in this action. Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to amend shall be granted, and the Court will direct the Clerk of the Court to file his lodged amended complaint as a second amended complaint. The Court will screen Plaintiff's second amended complaint in due course.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint, (ECF No. 15), is GRANTED;
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is DIRECTED to file the amended complaint lodged on October 20, 2025, (ECF No. 15), as the second amended complaint; and
- 3. Plaintiff's second amended complaint will be screened in due course.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 23, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE